

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/758,912	01/11/2001	David G. O'Dowd	2501.1004-001	9287	
21005	7590 01/10/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
HAMILTON, BROOK, SMITH & REYNOLDS, P.C.			NAJARIA	NAJARIAN, LENA	
530 VIRGIN P.O. BOX 9	-		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	MA 01742-9133		3626		

DATE MAILED: 01/10/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Commence		09/758,912	O'DOWD, DAVID G.			
Οπιсе Ας	tion Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
·		Lena Najarian	3626			
The MAILING Period for Reply	DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with t	he correspondence address			
THE MAILING DATE - Extensions of time may be after SIX (6) MONTHS from - If the period for reply specified if NO period for reply is specified in the specifi	ATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY OF THIS COMMUNICATION. available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 in the mailing date of this communication. fied above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply scified above, the maximum statutory period wet or extended period for reply will, by statute, office later than three months after the mailing nent. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (3 ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS cause the application to become ABANI	be timely filed O) days will be considered timely. I from the mailing date of this communication DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	on.		
Status						
1) Responsive to	communication(s) filed on 11 Ja	nuary 2001.				
2a) ☐ This action is F	TINAL. 2b)⊠ This	action is non-final.				
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4a) Of the above 5) ☐ Claim(s) 6) ☑ Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is. 7) ☐ Claim(s)	/are rejected.					
Application Papers						
·— ·	on is objected to by the Examine					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
• • • •	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
	claration is objected to by the Ex			(u).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C	. § 119					
a) All b) So 1. Certified 2. Certified 3. Copies of applications.	nt is made of a claim for foreign ome * c) None of: copies of the priority documents of the certified copies of the prior on from the International Bureaud detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in App ity documents have been re i (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	lication No ceived in this National Stage			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Ci	ted (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Sum	mary (PTO-413)			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's	Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/N 5) Notice of Infor	lail Date mal Patent Application (PTO-152)			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _	·	6) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 09/758,912 Page 2

Art Unit: 3626

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because its length is less than 50 words. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 4. Claim 2 recites the limitation "the destruction" in lines 1-2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

5. Claims 1-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.

The basis of this rejection is set forth in a two-prong test of:

- (1) whether the invention is within the technological arts; and
- (2) whether the invention produces a useful, concrete, and tangible result.

For a claimed invention to be statutory, the claimed invention must be within the technological arts. Mere ideas in the abstract (i.e., abstract idea, law of nature, natural phenomena) that do not apply, involve, use, or advance the technological arts fail to promote the "progress of science and the useful arts" (i.e., the physical sciences as opposed to social sciences, for example) and therefore are found to be non-statutory subject matter. For a process claim to pass muster, the recited process must somehow apply, involve, use, or advance the technological arts.

(A) In the present case, claims 1-3 only recite an abstract idea. The recited steps of exemplary claim 1 of merely collecting one or more samples from a subject, providing a sample associated with a first code to a researcher, providing personal information to a third party, and providing a second code to the researcher does not apply, involve, use, or advance the technological arts since all of the recited steps can be performed in the mind of the user or by use of a pencil and paper.

Additionally, for a claimed invention to be statutory, the claimed invention must produce a useful, concrete, and tangible result. In the present case, the claimed invention produces a method for anonymizing samples for use in research and

Art Unit: 3626

development activities. Although the recited process produces a useful, concrete, and tangible result, since the claimed invention, as a whole, is not within the technological arts as explained above, claims 1-3 are deemed to be directed to non-statutory subject matter.

(B) In the present case, claims 4-6 only recite an abstract idea. The recited steps of exemplary claim 4 of merely collecting a sample and sample information from a subject, providing the sample information and personal information to a third party, and providing the sample and sample information to a researcher does not apply, involve, use, or advance the technological arts since all of the recited steps can be performed in the mind of the user or by use of a pencil and paper.

Additionally, for a claimed invention to be statutory, the claimed invention must produce a useful, concrete, and tangible result. In the present case, the claimed invention produces a method for anonymizing samples for use in research and development activities. Although the recited process produces a useful, concrete, and tangible result, since the claimed invention, as a whole, is not within the technological arts as explained above, claims 4-6 are deemed to be directed to non-statutory subject matter.

(C) In the present case, claims 7-9 only recite an abstract idea. The recited steps of exemplary claim 7 of merely providing a request for a sample, soliciting the sample, collecting and then submitting the sample, sample information, and personal information to the third party, associating a code with the sample, sample information, and personal information, submitting the sample and sample information to the researcher, and

storing the personal information does not apply, involve, use, or advance the technological arts since all of the recited steps can be performed in the mind of the user or by use of a pencil and paper.

Page 5

Additionally, for a claimed invention to be statutory, the claimed invention must produce a useful, concrete, and tangible result. In the present case, the claimed invention produces a method for anonymizing samples for use in research and development activities. Although the recited process produces a useful, concrete, and tangible result, since the claimed invention, as a whole, is not within the technological arts as explained above, claims 7-9 are deemed to be directed to non-statutory subject matter.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United
- 7. Claims 1-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Beecham (5,876,926).
- (A) Referring to claim 1, Beecham discloses a method for anonymizing samples for use in research and development activities, the method comprising (abstract, lines 1-3 of Beecham):

Art Unit: 3626

(a) collecting one or more samples from a subject by an investigator site (abstract, lines 1-5 of Beecham):

Page 6

- (b) providing a sample to a researcher by the investigator site, wherein the sample is associated with a first code (col. 4, lines 44-46 of Beecham);
- (c) providing personal information to a third party by the investigator site, wherein the personal information is sufficient to link the subject with the one or more samples (col. 4, lines 30-43 & 52-63 of Beecham); and
- (d) providing a second code to the researcher by the third party (col. 11, lines 18-36 of Beecham; the Examiner interprets "biometric indicia" to be a form of "second code").
- (B) Referring to claim 2, Beecham discloses wherein the method comprises the additional step of the destruction of the personal information (col. 24, lines 7-23 of Beecham; the Examiner interprets "photograph or home address" to be forms of "personal information").
- (C) Referring to claim 3, Beecham discloses wherein the samples are to be used in a longitudinal study (col. 4, lines 44-51 and col. 17, lines 7-28 of Beecham; the Examiner interprets "follow-up" to be a form of "longitudinal study").
- (D) Referring to claim 4. Beecham discloses a method for anonymizing samples for use in research and development activities, the method comprising (abstract, 1-3 of Beecham):
- (a) collecting from a subject by an investigator site:
 - (i) a sample (abstract, lines 1-5 of Beecham); and

Application/Control Number: 09/758,912

Art Unit: 3626

(ii) sample information (col. 1, lines 47-49 of Beecham; the Examiner interprets "relevant medical records" to be a form of "sample information");

Page 7

- (b) providing to a third party by the investigator site:
 - (i) the sample information of (a)(ii) (col. 1, lines 47-49 of Beecham); and
- (ii) personal information sufficient to link the subject of (a) with the sample of (a)(i) and the sample information of (a)(ii) (col. 4, lines 32-43 of Beecham);
- (c) providing the sample of (a)(i) to a researcher by the investigator site, wherein the sample is associated with a first code (col. 4, lines 44-46 of Beecham); and (d) providing to the researcher by the third party the sample information of (a)(ii), wherein the sample information is associated with a second code (abstract, lines 7-13 and col. 4, lines 44-46; the Examiner interprets "biometric data" to be a form of "second code").
- (E) Referring to claim 5, Beecham discloses further comprising the steps of:
- (e) providing to the third party by the researcher:
 - (i) the first code; and
- (ii) a request for one or more samples of the subject associated with the first code (col. 4, lines 32-51 of Beecham; the Examiner interprets "unique identifying code or serial number" to be a form of "first code"); and
 (f) taking a sample from the subject by the third party, wherein the sample is associated with the first code of (e), and providing the sample to the researcher (col. 4, lines 32-47 of Beecham).

Application/Control Number: 09/758,912

Art Unit: 3626

(F) Referring to claim 6, Beecham discloses further comprising the steps of:

- (e) providing to the third party by the researcher:
- (i) the second code (col. 11, lines 22-33 of Beecham; the Examiner interprets "biometric indicia" to be a form of "second code"); and

Page 8

- (ii) a request for one or more samples of the subject associated with the second code (col. 4, lines 44-51 of Beecham); and
- (f) taking a sample from the subject associated with the second code of (e), wherein the sample is taken by the third party and provided to the researcher (col. 4, lines 32-47 and col. 7, lines 34-48 of Beecham).
- (G) Referring to claim 7, Beecham discloses a method for anonymizing samples for use in research and development activities, the method comprising:
- (a) providing a request for a sample by a researcher to a third party;
- (b) soliciting the sample from one or more investigator sites wherein the soliciting is done by the third party (col. 4, lines 32-51 of Beecham);
- (c) collecting of a sample, sample information, and personal information by the investigator sites (col. 1, lines 47-49 and col. 4, lines 32-43 of Beecham);
- (d) submitting the sample, sample information and personal information by the investigator site to the third party, wherein the sample, sample information and personal information are coded with a first code (col. 4, lines 52-63 and col. 11, lines 22-27 of Beecham);

Art Unit: 3626

(e) associating a second code with the sample, sample information and personal information, wherein the associating is done by the third party (col. 11, lines 22-33 of Beecham);

- (f) submitting the sample and sample information to the researcher by the third party (col. 4, lines 44-46 of Beecham); and
- (g) storing of the personal information by the third party (col. 14, lines 28-45 of Beecham).
- (H) Referring to claim 8, Beecham discloses wherein the first code is provided by the researcher (col. 4, lines 44-52 and col. 14, lines 43-49; the Examiner interprets "remote site for testing and analysis" to be a form of "researcher").
- (I) Referring to claim 9, Beecham discloses wherein the first code is provided by the third party (col. 4, lines 32-43 and col. 17, lines 20-28; the Examiner interprets "Planned Parenthood" to be a form of "third party").

Conclusion

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The cited but not applied prior art teaches a system for tracking secure medical test cards (5,612,870); an interactive remote sample analysis system (US 6,192,320 B1); a genetic profiling and banking system and method (US 6,640,211 B1); a medical privacy system (5,325,294); a method and system for anonymously testing a human malady (5,978,466); and an Internet autopsy database (http://www.autopsydb.org/consent.htm).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lena Najarian whose telephone number is (703) 305-0260. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 8:30 am - 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph Thomas can be reached on (703) 305-9588. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/// In 1-3-05

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600